

Higher Education Academic Integrity Policy



Academic Integrity Policy

Document Control	
Document Type	Policy
Date Approved	3 rd November 2023
Approved by	Academic Board
Version Number	1
Published Date	November 2026
Frequency of Review	3 years
Review Date	July 2026
Department	UCEN
Policy Owner	Director of Academic Standards
Principalship Lead	Vice Dean of HE
Accessible to Students	Yes



Version	Date	New/Replacement	Summary of Changes
1	Nov 2023	Replacement	Replaces the Academic Misconduct Policy

Tabl	e of Contents
1.	Policy Statement
2.	Policy Aims/Objectives
3.	Scope
4.	Definitions
5.	Support for Students and Staff
6.	Process
7.	Regulatory Requirements of Awarding and Professional Bodies
8.	Monitoring and Review
9.	Appeals
10.	Related Documentation

1. Policy Statement



UCEN Manchester is committed to the promotion and maintenance of academic integrity and underpins the standards across the institution. Academic integrity involves engaging in good academic practice and developing essential academic skills. UCEN is proud to be a signatory to the Academic Integrity Charter for UK Higher Education. Academic Integrity involves acting with honesty and openness and acknowledging all sources used in researching for assessments, including artificial intelligence sources.

2. Policy Aims/Objectives

This policy applies to all UCEN Manchester's Higher Education programmes and makes clear the institutions' expectation regarding conduct in summative and formative assessment, relating specifically to the various forms of Academic Misconduct defined within this policy. Academic Misconduct is gaining an unfair advantage, whether intention or unintentional, in summative assessments.

This policy aims to ensure that students act with personal integrity, self-discipline and respect for other in their academic conduct. This policy and its associated procedures are fully informed by the revised UK Quality Code 2018 in particular, Advice and Guidance relating to Assessment, and should be read in conjunction with the UCEN Manchester Academic Regulations.

3. Scope

This policy and associated procedures apply to all UCEN Manchester higher education students, except for those on courses validated by Manchester Metropolitan University, University of Huddersfield and Sheffield Hallam. Students on these courses should refer to the relevant awarding body policy and procedure.

Sheffield Hallam University Academic Conduct Procedures and Regulations

Manchester Metropolitan University Academic Misconduct Policy

University of Huddersfield Academic Integrity Policy

4. Definitions

Term	Definition	Quality Code Ref
Academic	Acting with honesty, trust, respect,	Assessment
Integrity	fairness and responsibility in	Expectations for
	assessments. All students and staff	Quality 1
	are expected to show respect for	Core Practices 1
	the intellectual property of others	Guiding Principles 2,
	by always attributing and	9, 10
	acknowledging source material.	
Academic Misconduct	This encompasses all kinds of	
	academic dishonesty, whether	
	deliberate or unintentional, which	
	infringes the integrity of UCEN's	
	assessment procedures.	
Types of Academic Miscond	luct	



Da au Cala al auslain	This is also as at a size of law live it and any	A
Poor Scholarship	This is characterised by limited or	Assessment
	inadequate technical skills or	Expectations for
	adherence of academic	Quality 1
	conventions. This could be through	Core Practices 1
	negligence or a lack of	Guiding Principles 2,
	understanding and ability. Failure	9, 10
	to properly attribute the work of	
	others may be regarded as	
	plagiarism and misuse of source	
	material may be regarded as	
	falsification.	
Re-use of Material	Work submitted for assessment	
	must be a new, original piece of	
	work produced specifically for the	
	assessment. Any re-use or	
	repurposing of a student's own	
	material must be clearly identified	
	as such and show how ideas or	
	concepts have been developed in	
	the new work.	
Plagiarism	A form of cheating which involves	
	presenting another person's ideas	
	or expressions without	
	acknowledging the source. This	
	includes internet sources and	
	information obtained from using	
	artificial intelligence programmes.	
Collusion	This could relate to unauthorised	
	collaboration with others or	
	presenting work as their own which	
	has been produced by another	
	person or electronic programme	
	such as Al sources. This includes	
	the purchasing of work from a third	
	party.	
	Students providing other students	
	with work unless authorised in	
	collaborative assessments may also	
	be guilty of academic misconduct.	
Fabrication/Falsification	This includes the fabrication of data	
	or other content or the tampering	
	of official documentation.	
Cheating in Examinations	Any breach of the examination	
	procedure which compromises the	
	integrity of the assessment will be	
	regarded as academic misconduct,	
	regardless of whether any	
	advantage was gained or there was	
	any intention to do so. These	
	principles apply equally to formal	
	examinations and to all laboratory	



	and class tests conducted under
	exam conditions
Impersonation	Any student found to be assuming
·	the identity of a third party, or
	where a student is impersonated by
	another person, in order to gain or
	enable access or advantage will be
	deemed guilty of impersonation.
Research Misconduct	All research which contributes to
	the assessment of taught courses
	must be conducted in an ethical and
	responsible manner. This includes
	requirements to secure ethical
	approval prior to the
	commencement of primary
	research, the conduct of the
	research, the relationship and
	dealings with participants and
	proper handling of data.
Bribery	A student offering academic or
	other staff money, gifts or any other
	incitement which is intended to
	induce or reward impropriety in the
	marking of their assessment will be
	guilty of academic misconduct.

5. Support for Students and staff

All students will be provided with support to help them to develop appropriate academic skills. This includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Taught sessions within the relevant modules on the course;
- Workshop sessions provided by Library staff;
- A range of materials provided via the VLE

Staff development will be available for all academic staff to support them in the promotion of academic integrity and the detection of academic misconduct. All assessors will be appropriately qualified, trained and meet the requirements of the awarding body and the revised UK Quality Code.

This is mapped to Assessment Expectations for Quality 1, Core Practices 1, Guiding Principles 9

6. Process

All suspected cases of Academic Misconduct will be reported to Academic Services who will then check the appropriate course of action to be taken. Academic Services will maintain a record of all instances of proven academic misconduct. Please refer to the associated Academic Misconduct Process for the detailed procedure.

7. Regulatory requirements of awarding and professional bodies

UCEN Manchester requires that all staff are aware of and operate under the specific regulatory requirements of its awarding bodies and, where appropriate, professional bodies. The College has developed appropriate internal policies and procedures that cover major requirements.



8. Monitoring and Review

UCEN Manchester will regularly monitor and review this policy and its associated procedures to assess the effectiveness of its implementation and outcomes.

9. Appeals

Appeals against decisions should made in accordance with the Academic Appeals procedure, within 14 calendar days from the date of the Academic Misconduct Panel.

10. Related Documentation

Academic Misconduct Process Academic Appeals Policy Academic Appeals Process